



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,828	09/05/2003	Phillip Craig Graves	62941.002015	7150
94551	7590	07/01/2010	EXAMINER	
LANDMARK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, PLLC			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
P.O. BOX 1266			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLOTHIAN, VA 23113			3695	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/01/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/655,828	Applicant(s) GRAVES ET AL.
	Examiner Narayanswamy Subramanian	Art Unit 3695

All Participants:**Status of Application:** RCE(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian

(3) _____.

(2) Gregory M. Murphy (Reg. No. 52,494).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2010**Time:** 5:00 PM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian discussed potential 112, second paragraph rejections with the proposed claim 42 and suggested claim language to overcome the rejections. The examiner also indicated that claim 1 may be allowable (subject to updated search) if the limitations of claim 20 are included in claim 1. Similarly inclusion of the features of claim 54 in claim 42 may make the latter claim allowable (subject to updated search). Attorney Murphy agreed to file a response after consulting the inventors.